



Notification decision report from 01/10/09 to 30/07/15

Consent no. & ID: WGN160011 [33583]

Applicant: Prime Property Limited

A. PUBLIC NOTIFICATION

1. Are there special circumstances that warrant notification?
S95A(4) No Yes →
Go to 2 below
2. Has the applicant requested notification?
S95A(2)(b) No Yes →
Go to 3 below
3. Has the applicant refused or not provided information under s92(1)?
S95C(2) No Yes →
Go to 4 below
4. Has the applicant refused or not responded to a report under s92(2)(b)?
S95C(3) No Yes →
Go to 5 below
5. Is the activity required to be notified by a plan rule or NES?
S95A(2)(c) No Yes →
Go to 6 below
6. Is the activity required to be non-notified by a plan rule or NES?
S95A(3)(a) *Note: If Yes and 3,4 apply, application must be publicly notified* Yes No
Go to Part D Go to 7 below
7. Are the adverse effects on the environment more than minor?
S95A(2)(a) & S95D No Yes →
Go to Part B
S95D(a) – You must disregard any effects on owners or occupiers of the land or adjacent to the land where activity will occur
S95D(b) – Have you considered the permitted baseline test? Yes No
S95D(c) – For controlled or restricted discretionary activities you must disregard adverse effects not related to matters of control or discretion
S95D(d) – You must disregard trade competition and the effects of trade competition
S95D(e) – You must disregard effect on a person who has given written approval to application



B. AFFECTED PERSONS

1. Are there any affected persons in relation to minor or more than minor (but not less than minor) adverse effects?

No Yes

S95B(1) & S95E

Go to Part D

Go to 3 below

S95E(2)(a) – Have you considered the permitted baseline test? Yes No

S95E(2)(b) – For controlled or restricted discretionary activities you must disregard adverse effects not related to matters of control or discretion

S95E(2)(c) – Must have regard to relevant statutory acknowledgement

2. Are there any affected protected customary rights groups or customary marine title groups?

No

S95B(1) & S95F & G – There are no such groups in the Wellington region

3. Have all affected persons given written approval?

Yes No

S95E(3)(a)

Go to Part D

Go to 4 below

4. Is it unreasonable to seek persons written approval?

Yes No

S95E(3)(a)

Go to Part D

Go to Part C

C. LIMITED NOTIFICATION

N/A

1. Does a plan rule or NES preclude limited application?

Yes No

S95B(2) & (3)

Go to Part D

↓

D. NON-NOTIFICATION

Affected parties who have provided written approval (if relevant)

Affected party

Comment

Wellington Water Limited

Geoff Williams provided written approval from Wellington Water on 1 September 2015

Other persons/organisations who have been consulted and/or weren't considered affected parties (if relevant)

Person/organisation

Comment

Brief explanation of why effects are 1. less than minor 2. minor or 3. more than minor – for owners or occupiers of land or adjacent to the land where activity will occur

The PDP groundwater report and Andrea Broughton's assessment demonstrates that any effects of the water take on other water takes will be no more than minor.

I am satisfied that this application can be processed as non-notified in accordance with the relevant provisions of S95A-G of the Resource Management Act 1991

Officer: Kirsty van Reenen

Signature: KvR

Date: 3/09/2014